Circulating endotoxin and systemic immune activation in sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (sALS)
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\begin{abstract}
The present study reports elevated levels of endotoxin/lipopolysaccharide (LPS) concentrations in plasma from patients with sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (sALS) and Alzheimer’s (AD) as compared to healthy controls. Levels of plasma LPS showed a significant positive correlation with degree of blood monocyte/macrophage activation in disease groups and was most elevated in patients with advanced sALS disease. There was a significant negative relationship between plasma LPS and levels of monocyte/macrophage IL-10 expression in sALS blood. These data suggest that systemic LPS levels and activated monocyte/macrophages may play significant roles in the pathogenesis of sALS.
\end{abstract}

\section{1. Introduction}

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a fatal neuromuscular disorder characterized by the progressive loss of anterior-lateral horn spinal cord motor neurons leading to weakness and the eventual death of affected individuals. Although inflammatory mechanisms and immune activation have been considered as common components of the pathogenesis of ALS (Alexianu et al., 2001; Graves et al., 2004; Henkel et al., 2004; McGeer and McGeer, 2002; Simpson et al., 2004), the relevance of these processes to pathogenesis is unknown.

Endotoxin/lipopolysaccharide (LPS), is a potent inflammatory stimulus and immunostimulatory product (Takeda et al., 2003) and induces its effects through stimulation of CD14-bearing inflammatory cells (Flo et al., 2000; Tobias et al., 1999). LPS associated toxicity is mediated through systemic monocyte/macrophage and endothelial cell activation, and release of inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\) (TNF-\(\alpha\)) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) (Beutler et al., 1985; Danner et al., 1991; Okusawa et al., 1988; Tracey et al., 1986). The effects of LPS are mediated primarily through Toll-Like Receptor 4 (TLR4).

Increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines, such as MCP-1 (Baron et al., 2005; Henkel et al., 2004; Simpson et al., 2004; Wilms et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2006), and IL-6 (Ono et al., 2001; Sekizawa et al., 1998) have been reported in cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) and sera in patients with ALS. More recently, elevated levels of TNF-\(\alpha\) have been observed in the blood of ALS patients (Babu et al., 2008; Cereda et al., 2008; Poloni et al., 2000). Our previous studies on blood specimens from patients with sporadic ALS (sALS) found elevated levels of abnormally activated monocyte/macrophages as compared to controls (Zhang et al., 2005). These data taken together suggested a potential role for LPS as a systemic monocyte activator in the pathogenesis of ALS. Therefore, the objectives of this study were: 1) to quantify the levels of plasma LPS in patients with sALS as compared to controls, 2) to test whether levels of plasma LPS would correlate with monocyte activation and/or monocyte IL-10 (a regulator of activation) expression in blood, and 3) to determine if LPS levels in plasma correlated with clinical stage of disease in sALS.

\section{2. Materials and methods}

\subsection{2.1. Subjects}

Twenty-three patients diagnosed with sALS (7 females and 16 males, mean age 59.2±8.7 years) by El Escorial criteria (Brooks, 1994) at the Forbes Norris MDA/ALS Research Center (San Francisco, California, USA) had blood drawn in accordance with the CPMC (California Pacific Medical Center) and UCSF committees on human research guidelines, coordinated by the UCSF AIDS and Cancer Specimen Resource (ACSR) program and excluded patients with any evidence for intercurrent infection. The Revised ALS Functional Rating Scale (ALSFRS-R), scored 0–48, was used to evaluate overall patient functional status (Cedarbaum et al., 1999). All scores were updated within a month of blood testing. Demographic and medication
information for sALS patients whose specimens were studied are shown in Table 1.

Two control groups were used in the study. The first control group consisted of 18 age-matched healthy donors (6 females and 12 males, mean age 54.5±8.4 years, Stanford University Blood Center). The second control group obtained from the memory clinic at Forbes Norris Center, had Alzheimer's disease (AD). This group (11 females and 7 males, mean age 78.9±8.3 years) was used as neurological disease controls and had no active infection at the time of blood donation into the study. All control blood specimens were processed in a similar manner to the sALS patient blood specimens.

2.2. Flow cytometry

Blood immunophenotyping studies were performed as previously described (Zhang et al., 2005). Monocyte activation was evaluated by quantitating levels of HLA-DR on CD14 cells. IL-10 expression in CD14 cells was used as another marker for its function as a regulator of monocyte/macrophage activation.

2.3. Endotoxin detection

Plasma from sALS, AD and healthy controls blood was obtained by Percoll gradient centrifugation, and was frozen at ~70 °C until assayed. Duplicate plasma LPS levels in all plasma specimens were quantified by the LAL (Limulus Amebocyte Lysate) Chromogenic Endpoint Assay (Cell Sciences Inc., Canton, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Cut-off values for defining cell activation as “positive” and “negative” for sALS patients and disease controls were determined by comparison with values from healthy donors. Results are expressed as the mean±SD. Statistical analysis of group distribution and differences, linear regression, and Pearson correlations were performed by GraphPad Prism 4.0 program (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA). Between-group comparisons were made using One-Way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison tests. For all analyses, a value of $p<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Plasma endotoxin LPS levels

Compared to the healthy population, significantly higher levels of LPS were observed in sALS ($p<0.05$) and AD ($p<0.001$) plasma specimens (Fig. 1). Plasma levels of LPS were similar between sALS and AD disease groups.

3.2. Monocyte activation and plasma LPS levels

The levels of monocyte activation marker HLA-DR detected on sALS ($p<0.05$) and AD ($p<0.001$) were significantly higher than that detected on normal blood monocytes (Fig. 2) and were directly related to the level of plasma LPS. Fig. 3 shows that monocyte HLA-DR varied
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Patient age (years)</th>
<th>Patient gender</th>
<th>Duration of illness (months)</th>
<th>ALSFRS-R score</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Riluzole $^{a}$</th>
<th>NSAIDs $^{b}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 9</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 10</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 11</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 12</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 13</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 15</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 16</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 17</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 18</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 19</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 20</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 21</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 22</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 23</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}$ Fifty milligrams twice daily.

$^{b}$ NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (Aspirin, Ibuprofen, Motrin), standard dose.
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Fig. 1. Plasma levels of LPS in healthy controls (21±6 pg/ml, $n=18$), AD (61±42 pg/ml, $n=18$), and sALS (43±18 pg/ml, $n=23$).

Fig. 2. Degree of monocyte HLA-DR expression in healthy controls (mean CD14DR=495±136, $n=17$), AD (mean CD14DR=943±417, $n=17$), and sALS (mean CD14DR=761±274, $n=23$).
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3.3. Loss of monocyte IL-10 expression and plasma LPS levels in sALS

The levels of monocyte IL-10 expression (Median Fluorescence Intensity) were significantly higher in AD (49±26, n=17) compared to sALS (34±9, n=20, p<0.05) and healthy controls (33±11, n=17, p<0.05). No significant differences in monocyte IL-10 expression were observed between sALS and healthy controls. However, there was a significant inverse correlation between plasma LPS levels and degree of CD14 cell IL-10 expression in sALS blood (r =−0.5128, p =0.0208), as shown in Fig. 4, whereas no correlation was found between monocyte IL-10 expression and plasma LPS levels in AD and healthy controls (data not shown).

3.4. Plasma LPS levels and clinical disease status in sALS

To evaluate whether plasma LPS levels would be related to severity of disease, the plasma LPS results of patients with sALS from Fig. 1 were compared with the clinical ALS values shown in Table 1. This analysis was performed by dividing sALS patients into two groups based on ALSFRS-R quartile scores. Those with moderate impairment (an ALSFRS-R score of 25–36, n =12) were compared to those with early impairment (an ALSFRS-R score of 37–48, n =10). As shown in Fig. 5, increased levels of plasma LPS were highly significant in patients with moderate impairment as compared with healthy controls (p<0.001).

4. Discussion

In the current study, we show for the first time that plasma levels of LPS are elevated in patients with sALS and AD. Plasma LPS levels may also be related to clinical disease status in sALS. Early stage sALS patients had lower levels of plasma LPS than did patients with more advanced disease. Elevated levels of abnormally activated monocyte/macrophages defined by CD14 co-expression of HLA-DR were found in patients with sALS and AD both in the current and in our previous study (Zhang et al., 2005). Monocyte activation levels in the current study varied directly with plasma LPS levels. Moreover, the levels of plasma LPS were inversely correlated with monocyte IL-10 expression in sALS patients.

Recent studies on immune activation and disease have found that monocyte/macrophage activation in chronic HIV infection/AIDS (Brenchley et al., 2006) is associated with LPS levels from gut associated microbial translocation. Sources of plasma LPS include, but are not limited to, commensal and pathogenic bacteria, as well as subclinical opportunistic infections. None of our patients had any active infection at the time of the study. It seems that plasma LPS in sALS and AD might also be from gut associated microbial translocation like that observed in the chronic HIV infection (Brenchley et al., 2006). As a systemic macrophage activator, LPS administration leads to acute neuronal cell death (Cunningham et al., 2005) and chronic neuroinflammation and progressive neurodegeneration (Qin et al., 2007). More specific to ALS, the injection of LPS into SOD1(G37R) ALS mice (Nguyen et al., 2004) caused a dramatic shortening of their lifespan suggesting that LPS-mediated macrophage activation may exacerbate the pathogenesis of ALS in vivo. The current study suggests that circulating LPS, without specifying the source of LPS, may contribute to disease development in sALS.

Whereas LPS induces classical monocyte activation and production of inflammatory mediators, IL-10 is a cytokine with anti-inflammatory properties that may downregulate monocyte activation (de Waal Malefyt et al., 1991; Ramani et al., 1993). The expression of this endogenous IL-10 conferred significant protection from the harmful effects of LPS challenge and reduced expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α (Gerard et al., 1993; Marchant et al., 1994) and regulated leukocyte–endothelial cell interactions, and microvascular permeability (Hickey et al., 1998). In other experimental systems,
IL-10 exhibited protective effects in models of local inflammation including brain or spinal cord injury (Bethea et al., 1999).

High LPS levels observed in plasma from patients with advanced sALS were associated with lower circulating monocyte IL-10 expression. It is unlikely that this decreased level of IL-10 was directly related to systemic exposure of monocytes to elevated levels of circulating LPS as AD patients also had high levels of plasma LPS, but no correlation was found with monocyte IL-10 expression. The loss of systemic monocyte IL-10 expression associated with high plasma LPS levels in sALS may be related to disease pathogenesis. It is possible that LPS associated IL-10 reductions may reduce the endogenous anti-inflammatory capability allowing neuroinflammatory disease progression. Accordingly, the balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory TLR4 signaling pathways triggered in response to bacterial products may influence the development of ALS disease. Systemic LPS levels and LPS activated monocyte/macrophage represent two new co-factors that may play significant roles in the pathogenesis of ALS and as such represent novel targets for therapeutic intervention in patients with ALS.
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