Risk and protective factors for cognitive impairment in persons aged 85 years and older

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine risk and protective factors for mild cognitive impairment (MCI) among persons 85 years and older.

Methods: Participants in the population-based prospective Mayo Clinic Study of Aging were comprehensively evaluated at baseline and at 15 monthly intervals to determine incident MCI. At baseline, lifestyle factors in midlife and late life were assessed by self-reported questionnaire; vascular and comorbid conditions were abstracted from participants’ medical records.

Results: Of 256 participants who were cognitively normal at enrollment (median age 87.3 years, 62% women), 121 developed MCI at a median 4.1 years of follow-up. Predictors of MCI were APOE ε4 allele (hazard ratio [HR] 1.89; \( p = 0.008 \)), current depressive symptoms (HR 1.78; \( p = 0.02 \)), midlife onset of hypertension (HR 2.43; \( p = 0.005 \)), increasing number of vascular diseases (HR 1.13; \( p = 0.02 \)), and chronic conditions from the Charlson Comorbidity Index (HR 1.08; \( p = 0.006 \)). Models were adjusted for sex and education, with age as the time variable. The risk of MCI was reduced for participants who reported engagement in artistic (HR 0.27; \( p = 0.03 \)), craft (HR 0.55; \( p = 0.02 \)), and social (HR 0.45; \( p = 0.005 \)) activities in both midlife and late life, and in the use of a computer in late life (HR 0.47; \( p = 0.008 \)).

Conclusions: Chronic disease burden increases risk of MCI, whereas certain lifestyle factors reduce risk in persons 85 years and older. This implies that preventive strategies for MCI may need to begin in midlife and should persist throughout late life.

GLOSSARY

AD = Alzheimer disease; aMCI = amnestic mild cognitive impairment; CCI = Charlson Comorbidity Index; DSM-IV = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition; FAQ = Functional Activities Questionnaire; HR = hazard ratio; ICD-9 = International Classification of Diseases-9; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; MCSA = Mayo Clinic Study of Aging; naMCI = nonamnestic mild cognitive impairment; NPI-Q = Neuropsychiatric Inventory Questionnaire.

Individuals aged 85 years and older are the most rapidly growing group in the United States and worldwide.1 Studies of the oldest old are difficult to conduct and to interpret. Persons aged 90 years and older typically have sensory losses, difficulty providing valid and reliable information, high comorbidity, and a high prevalence of dementia2; most are typically women. Often, factors associated with risk of cognitive impairment at younger ages are no longer predictive, raising the possibility that multiple coexisting diseases might be more predictive than solitary diseases. Because many individuals aged 90 years and older already have early stages of mild cognitive impairment (MCI), studies are often cross-sectional and can only assess risk of dementia or Alzheimer disease (AD). Furthermore, potential interventions at these ages may have limited long-term benefit. The goal of this study was to identify risk and protective factors for incident MCI among cognitively normal persons aged 85–89 years at enrollment to the Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA).

METHODS Study cohort at baseline. Participants were randomly selected from among Olmsted County, Minnesota, residents for participation in the MCSA. Details of the study design and methodology have been published.3,4 Briefly, residents aged 70–89 years were identified using the medical records linkage system of the Rochester Epidemiology Project (REP).5 Eligible participants were
invited to participate in person or by telephone. This study is limited to participants who were aged 85–89 years at enrollment (October 1, 2004, or March 1, 2008) and were cognitively normal at the baseline evaluation.

In-person evaluation. The evaluation consisted of 3 components. A nurse or study coordinator interviewed the participant to assess memory and administered the Clinical Dementia Rating scale and the Functional Activities Questionnaire (FAQ) to an informant to assess participant functioning. A physician evaluation included the Short Test of Mental Status and a neurologic examination. A psychometrist performed neuropsychological testing using 9 tests to assess performance in memory, executive function, language, and visuospatial skills. The raw test scores were transformed into age-adjusted scores using normative data.

Domain scores were computed by summing and scaling the age-adjusted test scores within domains to allow comparisons across domains.

Diagnostic criteria. MCI was diagnosed per published criteria—cognitive concern, impairment in 1 or more of the 4 cognitive domains, essentially normal functional activities, and absence of dementia—and classified as amnestic (aMCI) or nonamnestic MCI (naMCI). Dementia was diagnosed according to DSM-IV criteria. Participants were considered cognitively normal if they performed within the normative range and did not meet MCI or dementia criteria.

Exposures and covariates. Demographic information, weight, height, and timed gait speed (m/s) were determined at the interview. A stroke history was obtained by the physician and validated in the medical record. Depressive symptoms in the previous month were assessed using the Neuropsychiatric Inventory Questionnaire (NPI-Q). Participants completed self-administered questionnaires on engagement in exercise and in cognitive activities in midlife (age 50 years) and late life (1 year prior to the evaluation). Medical comorbidities and date of onset of these conditions were abstracted from participant medical records using the REP medical records-linkage system. APOE genotyping was performed. Chronic disease burden was assessed from a weighted Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) score using ICD-9 codes (table e-1 on the Neurology Web site at Neurology.org). This score takes into account disease severity, and was developed to assess impact of disease burden on health outcomes. Vascular disease burden was assessed as the total number of vascular diseases and related conditions: type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, atrial fibrillation, peripheral vascular disease, stroke, and obesity.

Longitudinal follow-up. Follow-up was performed at 15-month intervals. To avoid potential bias in making a diagnosis, clinical and cognitive findings from previous evaluations were not considered. Participants who declined the in-person evaluation at follow-up were invited to participate by a telephone interview that included the Telephone Interview of Cognitive Status—Modified, the Clinical Dementia Rating scale, and the NPI-Q.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consent. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Mayo Clinic and Olmsted Medical Center. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Statistical analyses. The date of MCI onset was assigned at the midpoint between the last assessment as cognitively normal and the first-ever assessment as MCI: participants who developed dementia without an MCI diagnosis were included. Persons who were lost to follow-up or died were censored at their last evaluation. We estimated follow-up time from baseline to onset of MCI, date of censoring, or last follow-up. We investigated bivariate associations of risk and protective factors with MCI using Cox proportional hazards models adjusted for sex and education, with age as a time variable. Exposures and covariates were APOE ε4 allele (any ε4 vs no ε4), type 2 diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, body mass index, engagement in exercise and cognitively stimulating activities, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, and smoking (current and former vs never).

When possible, we characterized variables as present in midlife (≥65 years) or late life (>65 years); or in midlife only, late life only, or both. We performed stratified analyses by MCI subtypes and by sex. In multiviable models, we included variables that were significantly associated with MCI.

In sensitivity analyses, we estimated the annualized percent change (slope) in FAQ score, memory, and executive function z scores for each participant, and computed and compared the average slope for participants grouped by performance of activities in midlife or late life. Associations were considered significant at p values <0.05, using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS Of the 301 participants who were cognitively normal at enrollment, 256 (85.0%; median age, 87.3 years, 62.1% [n = 159] women) had ≥1 follow-up evaluation (table 1). Women had a lower frequency of an APOE ε4 allele, were older, had a lower median CCI score, and had a lower vascular disease burden than men. Participants with no follow-up were younger (median age, 86.6 years; p = 0.03) but did not differ from those with follow-up regarding sex, education, APOE ε4 allele,
exercise was not significant; however, risk estimates for exercising in models adjusted for age (as the time variable), sex, and education. The association with midlife and late life. CI 0.26, 1.17), times a week were HR 0.89 (95% CI 0.54, 1.46),

Thirty participants (12 men, 18 women) had missing data on cognitively stimulating activities. 

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio.

*Estimates for variables that were significantly associated with mild cognitive impairment in models adjusted for age (as the time variable), sex, and education. The association with exercise was not significant; however, risk estimates for exercising ≥3 times week vs <3 times a week were HR 0.89 (95% CI 0.54, 1.46), p = 0.64 for midlife only; HR 0.55 (95% CI 0.26, 1.17), p = 0.12 for late life only; and HR 1.01 (95% CI 0.61, 1.67), p = 0.96 for midlife and late life.

The point estimates from the multivariable model were essentially unchanged, but the associations were marginally significant (data not presented). Significant predictors of naMCI were an APOE e4 allele, diabetes (midlife and late life), hypertension (midlife and late life), increasing CCI score, and increasing vascular disease burden. The risk of naMCI was reduced with computer use in late life and with increasing gait speed. Estimates from the multivariable were similar; the association with education was significant (hazard ratio [HR] 0.74, p = 0.004). The associations of APOE genotype with naMCI persisted even with the ε3ε3 genotype as the reference category (table e-3).

Predictors of MCI by sex. In men, MCI was associated with depressive symptoms and higher CCI scores in bivariate models (table 4); estimates changed little in multivariate models (data not shown). In women, MCI risk factors were hypertension and diabetes in midlife and late life, and increasing vascular disease burden. The risk was reduced with engaging in crafts and artistic activities in midlife and late life, and computer use in late life in bivariate models, and changed little in multivariate models (data not shown).
**Table 3** Risk and protective factors for MCI stratified by MCI subtype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Amnestic MCI (n = 71 events)</th>
<th>Nonamnestic MCI (n = 29 events)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Model1(^a), HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model1(^a), HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (reference)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (0.99, 3.30)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (continuous), y</td>
<td>1.00 (0.93, 1.09)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.89 (0.78, 1.01)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE 4 allele</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (1.81)</td>
<td>3.29 (1.40, 7.74)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late life only (5.90)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.78 (4.41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlife and late life (9.84)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.26 (76.63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late life only (1.68)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.67 (4.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlife and late life (4.73)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.34 (16.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (2.28)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.26 (4.13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson Comorbidity Index</td>
<td>1.18 (1.06, 1.32)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular disease burden</td>
<td>1.39 (1.14, 1.71)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlife only (0.51)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21 (1.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late life only (1.32)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.36 (4.81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlife and late life (0.48)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23 (0.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlife only (1.20)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16 (9.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late life only (0.10)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 (0.75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midlife and late life (0.22)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03 (1.68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait speed, m/s</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13 (0.02, 0.95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; MCI = mild cognitive impairment.

\(^a\)Estimates for variables that were significantly associated with amnestic MCI or nonamnestic MCI. Models were adjusted for age (as the time variable), sex, and education (as a continuous variable).

DISCUSSION In our cohort of 85- to 89-year-olds, the risk of MCI was elevated in participants with an APOE e4 allele, hypertension onset in midlife, greater comorbidity and vascular disease burden, and depressive symptoms. By contrast, the risk was reduced with engagement in artistic, craft, and social activities in both midlife and late life, and with use of a computer in late life. Depressive symptoms and APOE e4 were associated with aMCI, and vascular factors were associated with nMCI. Our findings suggest that strategies to reduce risk of MCI in the oldest old should include prevention and efficient management of vascular and other chronic diseases earlier in life. These nonpharmacologic interventions may have greatest benefit when initiated early and maintained. Furthermore, these efforts should begin in young adulthood or midlife, and should persist throughout late life.

A strength of our study was that it distinguished the impact of factors in midlife, late life, or both on MCI risk. For factors associated with reduced risk, this allowed us to disentangle the potential effects of reverse causation, where the outcome precedes and causes the exposure. Engaging in beneficial lifestyle activities in midlife only, or initiating them in late life, did not consistently confer benefit. Persons who performed certain activities only in midlife may have ceased to perform them in late life due to incipient cognitive impairment. Alternatively, those who performed activities in late life may have done so because they still could. Others may have discerned cognitive decline and initiated activities in late life as an effort to curb progression, suggesting reverse causation.

Our findings suggest that the burden of chronic conditions or vascular diseases may predict MCI in persons aged 85 years and older. Multiple chronic conditions may contribute to longstanding pathologic insults to the brain through cerebrovascular disease and related mechanisms, including endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, and oxidative damage, which lead to neuronal death, synaptic dysfunction, and cognitive impairment. Certain established risk factors for cognitive impairment (e.g., hyperlipidemia, smoking, C-reactive protein, obesity) may not have predicted MCI because of survival bias, or because the
The association of midlife hypertension with MCI underscores the need for aggressive prevention at younger ages. Targeted education of the general population regarding the association of vascular disease with MCI risk may promote lifestyle changes and treatment compliance. Effectively monitoring and managing persons with hypertension particularly in midlife may also prevent adverse cardiovascular outcomes that increase MCI risk.21,22

Interestingly, our findings suggest that nonpharmacologic preventive strategies may reduce naMCI risk in the oldest old. Higher education may reduce risk by increasing cognitive reserve, which in turn may delay clinical expression of symptoms or counteract vascular assaults on the brain.23,24 The reduced risk with computer use and with artistic or crafts activities suggest that these activities should be promoted throughout life. These activities may also increase cognitive reserve, maintain neuronal function, stimulate neural growth, and recruit alternate neural pathways to maintain cognitive function.25

By contrast, failure to observe protective factors for aMCI suggests that oldest old participants at risk for aMCI possibly have greater pathology resulting from both neurodegenerative and vascular effects that may be less amenable to nonpharmacologic interventions. Although we did not observe significant associations with exercise, the reduced HR for persons who exercised in late life suggests a potential benefit for MCI (0.55 for MCI, 0.66 for aMCI; table 2 footnote).

In sex-stratified analyses, MCI risk increased with increasing burden of chronic disease in men and with increasing burden of vascular disease in women. This difference raises the hypothesis that oldest old men may be sicker than women, and this multifactorial morbidity may contribute to MCI. The higher vascular disease burden in women, as observed with diabetes and hypertension, may increase MCI risk. A lower vascular disease burden in men may be due to the earlier onset of these conditions in men, leading to earlier mortality (survival bias). Finally, the protective effects of artistic and craft activities and computer use in women suggest opportunities for exploring comparable interventions in men.

Some of our findings are consistent with previous studies among persons 90 years and older. In particular, the beneficial effects of cognitively stimulating activities on cognition are consistent with previous findings.25,26 Absence of a protective effect of education on aMCI risk in the present study is consistent with the rapid cognitive decline observed in highly educated late-stage aMCI patients from a memory clinic.77 The association of increasing gait speed with decreased risk of naMCI is in keeping with the documented increase in the odds ratio of dementia with decreasing gait speed in persons in the 90+ study.28

cumulative burden of disease may have greater impact on risk than a single disease at very old ages.

The association of APOE ε4 with aMCI is consistent with a neurodegenerative etiology. The association with naMCI, however, was unexpected, but may relate to the adverse vascular effects of APOE ε2 and ε4.17-19 APOE ε2 and ε4 alleles have been reported to increase atherogenic lipoproteins and accelerate atherogenesis.20 These effects are consistent with the hypothesized vascular etiology for naMCI, and consistent with our findings in table e-3. Thus, although the small numbers suggest a spurious association, the present findings may be real. However, they remain to be validated in a larger population-based cohort of oldest old.
Too much of a good thing may still be good for your brain

Comment: Too much of a good thing may still be good for your brain

Approximately 5 million Americans live with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer disease (AD). Age adds a layer of complexity to disease management not present with younger adults, as older adults have a greater likelihood of multiple chronic conditions that can diminish their capacity to function independently. Chronic disease burden increases risk of MCI, while certain lifestyle factors may reduce MCI risk. 1 This implies that preventive strategies for MCI may need to begin in midlife and persist throughout late life.

While we cannot cure MCI or AD, there is increasing evidence that disease risk may be modifiable. Besides age and family history (not modifiable), the strongest risk factors in the literature include hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, hypercholesterolemia, obesity, low levels of mental and physical activities, decreased social engagement, and poor dietary patterns. 1,3

The present study 2 focused on 256 cognitively normal participants over a median of 4.1 years, of whom 121 (47%) developed MCI. Using medical records and patient-reported history, the investigators were able to tease out medical conditions that increased the risk of MCI (including hypertension, vascular disease, depression, and increasing numbers of chronic medical conditions). More importantly, they were able to discern protective effects from midlife and late-life activities, including arts and crafts, social engagement, and using a computer. While self-reports of how much artistic, social, or physical activities one does 30 years prior could be contaminated with reporting biases, these findings are consistent with other clinicopathologic studies 3,12 that clearly demonstrate the beneficial effects of cognitively and socially stimulating activities on the rate of cognitive decline and the level of Alzheimer pathology. Long ago, “an apple a day keeps the doctor away” was a common expression, suggesting that eating well could improve health. Perhaps today the expression should expand to include painting an apple, going to the store with a friend to buy an apple, and using an Apple product.
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